

State of Utah DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

MICHAEL R. STYLER Executive Director

JOHN R. BAZA Division Director

Inspection Report Minerals Regulatory Program

Date: October 23, 2008

Reviewed:	2de
KUVIUWUU.	

Mine Name: T & M Holdings	Permit Number: S/035/0023			
Operator Name: Decorative Landscaping Inc 2	Inspection Date: 10/16/2008			
Inspector(s): Brett Gregory for Leslie Heppler	Time: 1:30 pm			
Other Participants: None	Mine Status: Active			
Elements of Inspection	Evaluated	Comment	Enforcement	

	Elements of Inspection	Evaluated	Comment	Enforcement
1.	Permits, Revisions, Transfer, Bonds	\boxtimes		
2	Public Safety (shafts, adits, trash, signs, highwalls)			
3	Protection of Drainages / Erosion Control			
4.	Deleterious Material			
5.	Roads (maintenance, surfacing, dust control, safety)	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	
6	Concurrent Reclamation	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	
7.	Backfilling/Grading (trenches, pits, roads, highwalls, shafts, drill holes)			
8	Water Impoundments			
9.	Soils			
10	Revegetation	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	
11.	Air Quality			
12.	Other			

Bond Amount: \$19,000 Permit fee: Current.

Purpose of Inspection: This was a routine annual inspection of the site.

Inspection Summary: Photos attached.

- 5) I spoke with Bob Anderson about the road being made furthest to the west; and he stated that a 60 ft. wide road would be built where his disturbance was. Road construction is not exempt from needing a bond in place. Until the transition from mining to development has been made, T& M Holdings will need to provide a bond to cover the cost of a new disturbance.
- 6) With this permit covering so much scattered area, the NOI needs to be updated showing any recent mining activity, along with a new map showing any changes. Technically, the three mining permit areas should be permitted as a large mine.

Conclusions and Recommendations: Changes in the mine plan must be submitted and approved by DOGM prior to implementing them. Operator needs to submit annual reports as required. Including recent maps which show the current status of the mine. It would be easier and more cost effective to permit a large mining operation (LMO) with the amount of acreage disturbed, or possibly begin concurrent Reclamation for the post mining use.

Inspector's Signature

BG:vs

ce Tracy Burnham

O:\M035-SaltLake\S0350023-DecorLandscape\inspections\insp-10162008 and

Inspection Date: 10/16/2008 Page 2 of 5 S/035/0023



New disturbance not bonded for



Southern view of where a 60 ft, wide road was to be built



Road disturbance that Bob stated was being blazed for county road dozers.



Two road types below his disturbance and proposed road survey site.





Mining.



Rock crushing equipment seen on site



Possible location for development

Another view of possible development area



Area Bob mentioned that he was going to reclaim



Road to first disturbance of many in permit \$0350023



Southern view.



Northern view.



Furthest west \$0350023 disturbance



Stockpiles from reclaimed areas



Northeast view of stockpiles and road passage

Extent of stockpiled material